CERTIFY COPY OF THE ORIGINAL BY: Ellen Hall-Kamara/CIERK OF COURT

ATTESTED BY:

Januaria de Ciore/ site à Recorda

21ST DAY'S JURY SITTING JUNE TERM, A.D. 2004 SATURDAY, JULY 17, 2004

SHEET SEVEN

COURT IS SEALS

THE COURT: MR. SHERIFF CALL THE CASE: IN RE: DR. MALACHI Z. YORK
BY AND THRU HTS ATTORNEY-IN-FACT, AND LEGAL COUNSEL.
COUNSELLOR FRANCIS Y.S. GARLAWOLU OF THE CITY OF
MONROVIA, LIBERIA, PE TIDIONER VERSUS THE GOVERNMENT
OF LIBERIA BY AND THRU THE MINISTRY OF JUSTICE THRU
THE MINISTER OF JUSTICE AND ALL APPROPRIATE GOVERNMENT
FUNCTIONARIES OF THE CITY OF MONROVIA, LIBERIA,
RESPONDENT, PETITION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, YOUR HONOUR
CASE CALL FOR RULING.

THE COURT: Representations of parties are hereby noted, Court will now render its ruling in the Petition for Declaratory Judgment.

AND IT IS HEREBY SO ORDERED.

COURT'S PINEL JUDGMENT ON THE PETITION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

This case owes its genesis to a Petition for Declaratory

Judgment filed by the Petitioner through his legal Counsel in

Liberia, Counsellor Francis Y.S. Garlawolu, against the Respondent,
on the 13th day of July, A.D. 2004, specifically requesting this

Court to grand and declare the following relief to said Petitioners

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

1. To order Respondent to repatriate Petitioner in fulfillment of its obligation to its citizens within and without Liberia, and

2. To order the Respondent to defend and protect the diplomatic status of Petitioner.

S PETER DOE KPARhen the case was called for hearing, the Respondent requested NOTARY PUBLIC the indulgence of Court to spread its resistance on the minutes of Court, which was granted.

Accordingly, Attorney Morris A. Kaba appearing for the Respondent, resisted to the Petition on the minutes of Court, thus conceding veracity of the averment of the Petition to the effect that Petitioner is a citizen of Liberia and Consular assigned at Atlanta, U.S.A.

Agrements were held pro-st-con by Counsels for both parties.

From the Petitioner's Petition and Respondent's Resistance, two
fundamental issues decisive of this case involved for our
determination, thus:

TRUE AND CERTIFY COPY OF THE ORIGINAL BY: Ellen Hall-Hamera/Clerk of Cour Washington/Clerk/ 21ST DAY'S JURY SITTING SHEET ETGHT JUNE TERM, A.D 2004 SATURDAY, JULY 27, 2004 SEAT: COURT 'S 2 1. Whether or not our domestic and International Laws impose upon Respondent the legal obligation to repatriate or seek the repatriation of Petitioner? 2. Whether or not Respondent is under legal obligation to protect and defend its diplomats? This Court shall proceed to dispose of the above issues in the reverse. As regards the issue of diplomatic immunity, the record in this case establishes the fact that Petitioner, Dr. Malachi Z. York, was duly appointed by the Government of Liberia as a Consular on December 15, 1999. The letter of appointment reads thus: "REPUBLIC OF LIBERIA" OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT December 15, 1999 Dr. Malachi Z. York PETER DOE KPAR NOTARY PUBLIC Athens, Georgia United States of America I am pleased to appoint you heren a busul General of the Republic of Liberia to Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America. This preferment is evident of our conviction and expectation that ! you will continue to be of greater service to Liberia and its people, especially those in the State of Georgia. Congratulations. Cordially yours, Dahpannah Dr. Charles Ghankay Taylor The fact of Petitioner's appointment is not in dispute. Hence, Petitioner squarely falls under our domestic and International laws, within the category of the diplomatic personnel with all immunities appeartaining thereto. This Court holds that the Constitution of Liberia and International Laws and the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations immune diplomatic personnel against arrest and detention, Chapter 9 of Public International Law, 2nd Edition, Section 9-1 (pages 215) has this to say: DIPLOMATIC AND RELATED IMMUNITIES Section 9-1, Function. The immunity of foreign diplomatic personnel from local actions or proceedings has long been a feature of the International legal system, Diplomatic immunity contributes to friendly relations among nations by promoting "the efficient performance of the functions of diplomatic missions as representing states... "Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (hereinafter cited as Convention), an essential condition for maintaining any sort of international community. In general and accredited diplomat is immune with respect to acts or omissions in the exercise of his TRUE AND CERTIFY COPY OF THE ORIGINAL BY: Ellen Hall-Kamara/Clerk of Court / Ch encore de la corde ATTESTED BY: TRUE COPY 21ST DAY'S JURY SITTING JUNE TERM, A.D. 2004 SHEET WINE COURT'S SEAL: SATURDAY, JULY 17, 2004 or her official functions and Natury discussions and which lack of immunity would be inconsistent with diplomatic status. The diplomat is also immune from criminal process and from most Sivil rocess in the receiving state. See Section 464, Resistement (Third) (1987). The person of a diplomatic officer is inviolable under international Law and the receiving nation had an affirmative duty to protect each diplomat from an attack "on his person, freedom or dignity." Convention, art 29. Consequently, the receiving nation may neither arrest nor detain the diplomat, and the diplomat is immune from the criminal laws as well a s the civil and administrative jurisdiction. Convention, art, 31 (1). Diplomatic personnel may not be compelled to give evidence. Convention, Art, 3 (2). They are also immune from personnel service, Convention, Art, 35, most taxes, Convention, Art 34, social security provisions, Art, 33, and Customs duties and inspections, Convention, Art, 36. Diplomatic immunity also extends to the diplomat's family members. Convention, Art, 37... The physical premises of a diplomatic mission are also inviolable. Convention, Art 22" Also See Consular Convention Articles 41 & 43 and Section 465, Restatement (Third) (1987) Further, the United States has enacted the terms of the Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations into Federal Statutory Law. 22 U.S.C. Section 254 A-E (1982), which extends the privileges and immunities of the Convention to all diplomtatic personnel regardless of whether the sending state is a Convention party. Thus, consistent with the principle of inviolability of Dimplomatic personnel, and the Petitioner being a Consular duly appointed by the Government of Liberia, is protected under the laws granted supra. Respondent is hereby ordered to protect and defend the person and premises of Petitioner are a Diplomatic personnel, to all intents and purposes; and in light of that, Respondent is further ordered to liaise with the Government of the United States of America. to ensure the effective endorcement of this judgment. Relative to the first issue, the Constitution of Liberia, vouchsefes unto every citizens of Liberia, within and without Liberia, exel protection, public International Law, 2nd Edition, page 2 section 7-2, under the nationality principles has this to say:

"Mates have jurisdiction over their nationals, even when those nationals are physically outside the country's borders. This is a fecause the nationals owes allegiance to his own country no matter where he or she is located and because each nation has both responsibility to other nations for the conduct of its nationals and an interest in their welfare while they are abroad."

In view of the above quoted law, it is the further holding of this Court that Petitioner is entitled, as a matter of law and right, to the protection of Respondent.

Respondent is therefore hereby ordered to repairiate Petitioner to the Republic of This Tit. Wherefore, it is the judgment of this Court that the Petition and the same is hereby granted and the Respondent is hereby dideaded to give effect to this judgment.

AND 30 DELD.

MATTER SCSUNDED

MATTER SCSUNDED

YUSSIF D. KASA ASSIGNED CIRC IT JUDGE PRESIDING, SIXTH

IFIED COPY CERTIFI

BOURT'S SEAL

Dang again